

Bubble Economy in Financial Markets and Digital Platforms: Regulatory Challenges and Policy Directions for Indonesia

Umar Hamdan Nasution
Universitas Dharmawangsa
Email : umarhamdan@dharmawangsa.ac.id

ABSTRACT

This policy article examines the evolution of economic bubbles from classical financial markets to digitally driven bubbles shaped by information architecture and social media algorithms. The study aims to formulate policy directions relevant to Indonesia by integrating economic theory, empirical evidence, and institutional regulatory analysis. Using a qualitative policy review approach, the article synthesizes classical bubble theories, digital economy literature, documented case studies, and Indonesian regulatory frameworks. The findings indicate that Indonesia possesses relatively strong macroprudential and market-conduct instruments to address classical financial bubbles. However, significant regulatory gaps persist in governing digital bubbles emerging from platform-based amplification mechanisms. This article proposes a structured policy recommendation matrix emphasizing algorithmic accountability, inter-agency coordination, UMKM protection, and adaptive financial governance.

Keywords: *Bubble Economy, Digital Platforms, Indonesia, Policy Analysis, Regulation, Social Media*

I. INTRODUCTION

Economic bubbles have long been recognized as a recurring feature of capitalist economies. From the Tulip Mania of the seventeenth century to the global financial crisis of 2008, periods of rapid price escalation followed by sharp corrections have repeatedly disrupted economic stability. In Indonesia, the memory of financial volatility remains closely associated with the Asian Financial Crisis of 1997–1998, reinforcing the importance of regulatory vigilance.

In recent years, however, the rapid expansion of the digital economy has introduced a new form of economic bubble that differs fundamentally from classical asset-price bubbles. Rather than being driven primarily by leverage and speculative finance, digital bubbles are increasingly shaped by information architecture, social media algorithms, and platform-mediated narratives. Viral trends, influencer endorsements, and algorithmic amplification have the capacity to generate sudden surges in demand, valuations, and entrepreneurial entry, particularly among micro, small, and medium enterprises (UMKM).

This phenomenon requires continuous academic scrutiny to map out potential systemic market distortions. As highlighted in previous domestic economic assessments, institutional responsiveness plays a key role in shielding local industries from sudden financial volatility (Nasution, 2023). This article seeks to bridge classical bubble theory with contemporary digital phenomena and to map these dynamics into Indonesia's regulatory and institutional framework. The central argument advanced is that while Indonesia's regulatory regime is relatively mature in addressing financial market bubbles, it remains fragmented and underdeveloped in responding to digitally driven economic bubbles.

II. LITERATURE REVIEW

The concept of economic bubbles has been extensively discussed within the fields of political economy, financial economics, and behavioral finance. Kindleberger and Aliber (2011) conceptualized bubbles as part of a recurring cycle consisting of displacement, boom, euphoria, distress, and crash. Minsky's (1986) financial instability hypothesis further emphasized the endogenous nature of financial fragility, arguing that prolonged periods of stability encourage increasingly risky behavior by economic agents.

Behavioral approaches challenge the assumption of fully rational markets by highlighting herd behavior, overconfidence, and speculative imitation (Barberis et al., 1998). Shiller's (2017)

notion of narrative economics extends this perspective by emphasizing the role of socially transmitted stories in shaping economic expectations. According to this view, economic outcomes are strongly influenced by the diffusion of persuasive narratives rather than by fundamentals alone.

In the digital era, scholars argue that platform algorithms function as narrative accelerators. Recommendation systems embedded in social media platforms amplify particular content based on engagement metrics, thereby reinforcing feedback loops between visibility, perceived popularity, and economic behavior (Bikhchandani et al., 1992). As a result, digital bubbles tend to form more rapidly and dissipate more abruptly than classical financial bubbles.

III. RESEARCH METHODOLOGY

This study adopts a qualitative policy analysis methodology. In extracting, analyzing, and synthesizing legislative instruments and economic data, this paper adheres strictly to standard qualitative verification protocols and structured document mapping techniques (Nasution & Junaidi, 2021). Data are drawn from peer-reviewed academic literature, policy reports, official Indonesian regulations, and publicly documented case studies from both domestic and international contexts.

The analytical framework consists of three stages. First, classical and digital bubble mechanisms are conceptually distinguished. Second, empirical illustrations are used to contextualize these mechanisms within Indonesia's digital economy. Third, a regulatory mapping exercise is conducted to identify institutional responsibilities, overlaps, and gaps. This approach enables the development of policy-relevant recommendations rather than purely descriptive analysis.

IV. RESULT AND DISCUSSION

A. *Classical and Digital Bubbles: Comparative Analysis*

Classical financial bubbles are typically associated with asset markets such as equities, real estate, and commodities. Their dynamics are closely linked to credit expansion, leverage, and speculative investment. Regulatory responses therefore emphasize disclosure requirements, prudential limits, and systemic risk monitoring.

Digital bubbles, by contrast, emerge within markets for attention, visibility, and platform-mediated transactions. The object of speculation is not solely financial assets, but expectations of future demand generated through algorithmic amplification. While these bubbles may not immediately threaten macro-financial stability, they pose significant risks to microeconomic sustainability, particularly for UMKM that lack diversification and financial buffers. In managing sudden structural disruptions, firms must prioritize strict internal material management and systemic efficiency to safeguard long-term stability (Nasution et al., 2025).

The operationalization of digital demand bubbles in Indonesia is empirically evident in the rapid trend cycles generated by social commerce recommendation engines, such as TikTok Shop and Shopee Video. Unlike classical asset markets where price discovery occurs gradually based on economic fundamentals, platform-mediated ecosystems depend on rapid shifts in user attention. Algorithmic push mechanisms frequently isolate and amplify specific commodity categories—ranging from localized cosmetic formulations to specific fashion items—creating immediate, massive demand surges. These flash-trends are heavily accelerated by influencer ecosystems and optimized feedback loops that exploit consumer FOMO (Fear Of Missing Out), effectively constructing micro-economic bubbles overnight.

The macroeconomic implications of these abrupt algorithmic shifts present severe vulnerabilities for Indonesian MSMEs (UMKM). Driven by temporary algorithmic favoritism and artificially inflated traffic metrics, local micro-entrepreneurs frequently reallocate limited capital resources toward manufacturing or stockpiling targeted trending goods. However, because

platform algorithms are highly volatile and continuously pivot toward newer engagement coordinates, these digital demand bubbles dissipate within weeks. Consequently, unsophisticated local businesses are abruptly left with substantial oversupply, unliquidated inventory, and crippling short-term debts.

B. Regulatory Landscape in Indonesia

Indonesia’s regulatory response to economic bubbles is institutionally segmented. The Financial Services Authority (OJK) and Bank Indonesia (BI) focus primarily on financial system stability, while the Ministry of Trade (Kemendag) and the Ministry of Communication and Digital Affairs (Komdigi) oversee aspects of the digital economy.

- **Financial Services Authority (OJK):** OJK possesses a comprehensive mandate covering market conduct, prudential supervision, and consumer protection. Instruments such as unusual market activity alerts, trading halts, and disclosure requirements have proven effective in mitigating speculative excesses in capital markets (Otoritas Jasa Keuangan, 2023). However, OJK’s jurisdiction does not extend to platform-driven demand bubbles that occur outside formal financial instruments.
- **Bank Indonesia (BI):** BI’s macroprudential policies aim to contain systemic risk arising from credit cycles and asset-price inflation (Bank Indonesia, 2023). While these tools are relevant for classical bubbles, they have limited applicability to digital bubbles that are not mediated by formal credit channels.
- **Ministry of Communication and Digital Affairs (Komdigi):** Komdigi plays a central role in governing digital platforms, data protection, and information flows. Despite this mandate, regulatory oversight of algorithmic recommendation systems remains limited. The absence of algorithmic transparency requirements represents a key regulatory gap in addressing digital bubbles. To close this gap, future regulatory frameworks should pivot toward collaborative AI governance architectures designed to securely integrate platform data and mitigate algorithmic risks (Nasution, Zahri, & Rahman, 2025).
- **Ministry of Trade (Kemendag):** While the Ministry of Trade’s enforcement of Permendag No. 31 of 2023 successfully partitioned transactional platforms from pure social networking functionalities, the policy remains structurally reactive. It addresses the architectural venue of transaction rather than the underlying data-driven manipulation of market demand. Because the Ministry of Communication and Digital Affairs (Komdigi) lacks a comprehensive audit framework for proprietary, black-box recommendation algorithms, foreign-owned platform operators maintain unilateral control over domestic visibility metrics. This oversight gap allows digital platform architectures to function as unmonitored market-makers, destabilizing local trade patterns without institutional accountability.

C. Policy Recommendation Matrix

Table 1. Policy Recommendation Matrix for Bubble Economy Mitigation

Policy Area	Primary Institution	Key Risk Addressed	Recommended Action
Financial Market Speculation	OJK, BI	Asset price bubbles	Enhanced stress testing and narrative risk indicators
Algorithmic Amplification	Komdigi	Digital demand bubbles	Algorithmic transparency and audit framework

Social Commerce	Kemendag	Platform-driven market distortion	Ex-ante platform conduct regulation
UMKM Resilience	Kemenkop UKM	Over-expansion and insolvency	Digital financial literacy and risk guidelines

The integrated framework outlined in Table 1 conceptualizes a dual-track policy response tailored to address both classical and digital bubble mechanisms within the Indonesian regulatory landscape. Effective mitigation of speculative cycles cannot rely on a single institutional authority; instead, it demands a coordinated operational matrix that bridges traditional macroprudential supervision with digital economy governance. For classical financial asset speculation, the matrix emphasizes that the Financial Services Authority (OJK) and Bank Indonesia (BI) must go beyond traditional macroeconomic metrics. These bodies are urged to incorporate narrative risk indicators into their dynamic stress-testing models, tracking investor sentiment and herd behavior propagated through online networks before these signals manifest as material financial vulnerability.

In contrast, addressing digital demand bubbles requires a fundamental shift toward architectural oversight. As shown in the matrix, the Ministry of Communication and Digital Affairs (Komdigi) holds the primary institutional responsibility to establish an independent audit framework targeting black-box recommendation algorithms. By mandating algorithmic transparency for large digital platform operators, the state can prevent artificial traffic inflation and unregulated market-making behaviors that distort organic consumer patterns. Concurrently, the Ministry of Trade (Kemendag) must transition from reactive border-control regulations toward ex-ante platform conduct rules. This proactive stance ensures that electronic commerce ecosystem guidelines prevent structural monopolies and protect domestic supply stability before a sudden demand bubble collapses.

Finally, the matrix addresses the foundational level of economic resilience by integrating the Ministry of Cooperatives and MSMEs (Kemenkop UKM). Since microeconomic insolvency represents the most direct and severe consequence of digital bubble dissipation, the recommended policy action focuses on equipping local entrepreneurs with digital financial literacy and data-driven risk guidelines. Rather than merely training MSMEs to adapt to short-term platform trends, institutional efforts must train local businesses to evaluate traffic volatility and avoid over-leveraging based on transient algorithmic waves. Through this multi-layered, coordinated approach, Indonesia can build a resilient economic environment capable of absorbing both financial and technological market shocks.

V. CONCLUSION

This article argues that economic bubbles remain an inherent feature of dynamic economies, but their mechanisms have evolved alongside technological change. Indonesia's regulatory framework is relatively effective in managing classical financial bubbles, yet insufficiently equipped to address digital bubbles driven by information architecture.

Future policy efforts should prioritize cross-institutional coordination, algorithmic accountability, and proactive UMKM protection. By shifting regulatory focus from solely financial indicators toward informational and narrative dynamics, Indonesia can foster a more resilient and sustainable digital economy.

The author expresses deepest gratitude to the Institute for Research, Community Service, and Scientific Publication (LPPM) of Universitas Dharmawangsa for the continuous support, guidance, and financial or institutional facilitation provided throughout the research process and the publication of this article.

REFERENCES

- Bank Indonesia. (2023). Kajian stabilitas keuangan. Bank Indonesia
- Barberis, N., Shleifer, A., & Vishny, R. (1998). A model of investor sentiment. *Journal of Financial Economics*, 49(3), 307–343.
- Bikhchandani, S., Hirshleifer, D., & Welch, I. (1992). A theory of fads, fashion, custom, and cultural change as informational cascades. *Journal of Political Economy*, 100(5), 992–1026.
- Peraturan Menteri Perdagangan Nomor 31 Tahun 2023 tentang Perizinan Berusaha, Periklanan, Pembinaan, dan Pengawasan Pelaku Usaha dalam Perdagangan Melalui Sistem Elektronik.
- Kindleberger, C. P., & Aliber, R. Z. (2011). *Manias, panics, and crashes: A history of financial crises* (6th ed.).
- Palgrave Macmillan.McKinsey Global Institute. (2023). *The economics of viral growth*. McKinsey & Company.
- Minsky, H. P. (1986). *Stabilizing an unstable economy*. Yale University Press.
- Nasution, U. H., & Junaidi, L. D. (2021). *Metode Penelitian*. Widina Media Utama.
- Nasution, U. H., Zahri, C., & Herlia, H. (2025). The effect of material control and operational effectiveness on operational performance at PT. Dutaraya Sejati in Medan. *Dharmawangsa: International Journal of the Social Sciences, Education and Humanitis*, 6(1), 1-8.
- Nasution, U. H., Zahri, C., & Rahman, M. A. (2025). Collaborative AI governance for digital innovation and knowledge integration performance. *Proceedings of the 1st International Conference on Computer Science, Engineering, Social Sciences, and Humanities (CESSMUDS)*, 1, 60–64.
- OECD. (2021). *Consumer policy and fraud in the digital economy*.
- OECD Publishing.Otoritas Jasa Keuangan. (2023). *Laporan stabilitas sistem keuangan Indonesia*. OJK.
- Shiller, R. J. (2015). *Irrational exuberance* (3rd ed.). Princeton University Press.
- Shiller, R. J. (2017). Narrative economics. *American Economic Review*, 107(4), 967–1004.
- Zuboff, S. (2019). *The age of surveillance capitalism*. PublicAffairs.

About the Author(s)

Umar Hamdan Nasution is a permanent lecturer at the Faculty of Economics and Business, Universitas Dharmawangsa, Indonesia, holding a Bachelor of Economics (S.E.) and a Master of Management (M.M.). He specializes in Financial Management, Strategic Management, and Public Policy Analysis, with a research agenda focused on corporate financial systems, capital budgeting, and digital economy regulations. His academic publications include the textbook "Metode Penelitian" (co-authored with Listya Devi Junaidi) alongside numerous peer-reviewed studies tracking domestic market behaviors, including empirical evaluations of operational effectiveness and structural performance indicators published in the *Dharmawangsa: International Journal of the Social Sciences, Education and Humanities*.